

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2023-388-E

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY OF</b>
Application of Duke Energy	)	<b>STEVEN D. CAPPS</b>
Carolinas, LLC For Authority to	)	<b>FOR DUKE ENERGY</b>
Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates	)	<b>CAROLINAS, LLC</b>
and Charges	)	

---

**I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW**

**Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

A. My name is Steven D. Capps and my business address is 13225 Hagers Ferry Road, Huntersville, North Carolina.

**Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

A. I am Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations for Duke Energy Corporation (“Duke Energy”) with direct executive accountability for Duke Energy’s South Carolina nuclear plants, including Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC’s (“DEC” or the “Company”) Catawba Nuclear Station (“Catawba”) in York County, South Carolina, the Oconee Nuclear Station (“Oconee”) in Oconee County, South Carolina, and Duke Energy Progress (“DEP”), LLC’s Robinson Nuclear Plant, located in Darlington County, South Carolina.

**Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF NUCLEAR OPERATIONS?**

A. As Senior Vice President of Nuclear Operations, I am responsible for providing executive oversight for the safe and reliable operation of Duke Energy’s three South Carolina operating nuclear stations. I am also involved in the operations of Duke Energy’s other nuclear stations, including DEC’s McGuire Nuclear Station (“McGuire”) located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.

1   **Q.     PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND**  
2       **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

3   A.     I have more than 36 years of experience in the nuclear field. I joined Duke Energy  
4       in 1987 as a field engineer at Oconee. During my time at Oconee, I served in a  
5       variety of leadership positions at the station, including Senior Reactor Operator,  
6       Shift Technical Advisor, and Mechanical and Civil Engineering Manager. In  
7       2008, I transitioned to McGuire as the Engineering Manager. I later became  
8       plant manager and was named Vice President of McGuire in 2012. In December  
9       2017, I was named Senior Vice President of Nuclear Corporate for Duke Energy  
10      with direct executive accountability for Duke Energy's nuclear corporate  
11      functions, including nuclear corporate engineering, nuclear major projects,  
12      corporate governance and operation support, and organizational effectiveness.  
13      I assumed my current role in October 2018. I earned a B.S. in Mechanical  
14      Engineering from Clemson University, and I have completed the Institute of  
15      Nuclear Power Operations ("INPO") senior nuclear plant management course.

16   **Q.     HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE OR FILED**  
17       **TESTIMONY WITH THIS COMMISSION?**

18   A.     Yes. I testified before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the  
19       "Commission") in DEC's 2018 base rate case proceeding in Docket No. 2018-  
20       319-E, and DEC's 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, and 2023 fuel cost  
21       proceedings in Docket Nos. 2018-3-E, 2019-3-E, 2020-3-E, 2021-3-E, 2022-3-  
22       E, and 2023-3-E.

1   **Q.    WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**  
2       **PROCEEDING?**

3    A.    The purpose of my testimony is to provide information in support of the  
4       Company's request for a base rate adjustment. To this end, I describe DEC's  
5       nuclear generation assets; update the Commission on capital additions since the  
6       Company's last rate case filed in 2018, Docket No. 2018-319-E (the "2018 Rate  
7       Case"); explain key drivers impacting nuclear operations and maintenance  
8       ("O&M") costs; and provide operational performance results for calendar year  
9       2022 (the "Test Period"). I also provide support for the Company's request to  
10      adjust depreciation and amortization expenses to establish a reserve for end of  
11      life nuclear costs not captured in decommissioning studies.

12   **Q.    WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY CAPITAL AND O&M DRIVERS WITHIN**  
13       **THE NUCLEAR FLEET DRIVING THIS REQUEST?**

14   A.    Since the 2018 Rate Case, capital investments have been made to enhance  
15       safety, comply with new regulatory requirements, enhance reliability and  
16       efficiency, and manage aging and obsolescent equipment. In addition, while the  
17       Company has effectively managed O&M challenges, it also continues to face  
18       O&M pressures.

19   **Q.    PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF YOUR**  
20       **TESTIMONY.**

21   A.    DEC's nuclear fleet is a critical component of Duke Energy's diverse resource  
22       mix and of the Company's strategy for maintaining safe, reliable, and affordable

1 service for customers in both South Carolina and North Carolina. The DEC fleet  
2 provides over 5,000 MWs of efficient baseload generating capacity for our  
3 customers, with five of the seven nuclear units located in South Carolina.

4 In order to continue to provide safe, reliable, and affordable service,  
5 DEC has invested approximately \$1.38 billion on a system basis in capital  
6 improvements to the nuclear fleet since the Company's 2018 Rate Case. This  
7 figure reflects actual investments from January 1, 2019 – September 30, 2023  
8 and estimates for October – December of 2023. Estimates will be updated to  
9 actuals in the supplemental testimony of Company witness LaWanda Jiggetts.  
10 These investments were required to enhance safety, preserve performance and  
11 reliability of the plants throughout their extended life operations, and address  
12 regulatory requirements. Across the fleet, these investments have resulted in  
13 improvements to physical security measures, implemented innovations that  
14 drive performance improvements and efficiencies, and completed projects to  
15 improve turbine reliability. Additional capital investments at each of the  
16 Catawba, McGuire, and Oconee stations will allow the stations to continue to  
17 operate safely and reliably for years to come.

18 Since the Company's 2018 Rate Case, the DEC nuclear fleet established  
19 a new annual generation and annual capacity factor record, and the Company  
20 will continue to enhance fleet reliability and efficiency on a cost per kilowatt  
21 hour ("kWh") basis through a variety of initiatives. DEC will also continue to  
22 face the significant challenge of the cost and technological requirements for

1 maintaining systems and equipment within the nuclear fleet to ensure safe,  
2 reliable, and economical power that emits zero greenhouse gases. In addition to  
3 the capital investments included in this rate case, the Company will pursue  
4 subsequent license renewal (“SLR”) for each of its stations to allow for up to  
5 an additional 20 years of operation. The extension of the nuclear stations’  
6 licenses allows for the continued use of a safe, reliable, and affordable source  
7 of generation benefiting our customers and meeting these challenges going  
8 forward.

9 **Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?**

10 A. The remainder of my testimony is organized as follows:

11 II. NUCLEAR FLEET: Generation Capacity and Asset Descriptions

12 III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS: In-Service for This Proceeding

13 IV. O&M EXPENSES

14 V. ADDITIONAL NUCLEAR FLEET CONSIDERATIONS

15 VI. NUCLEAR OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE: Metrics and  
16 Industry Benchmarking

17 VII. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR RESERVE

18 VIII. CONCLUSION

## II. NUCLEAR FLEET

**Q. PLEASE LIST DEC'S NUCLEAR FLEET.**

A. The Company's nuclear generation portfolio consists of approximately 5,389<sup>1</sup> megawatts ("MWs") of power capacity made up as follows:

Oconee - 2,554 MWs

McGuire - 2,316 MWs

Catawba - 519 MWs<sup>2</sup>

**Q. PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE DEC'S NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS.**

A. DEC's nuclear fleet consists of three generating stations and a total of seven units. Oconee began commercial operation in 1973 and was the first nuclear station designed, built, and operated by DEC. It has the distinction of being the second nuclear station in the country to have its license, originally issued for 40 years, renewed for up to an additional 20 years by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC"). The license renewal, which was obtained in 2000, extends operations to 2033, 2033, and 2034 for Oconee Units 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

McGuire began commercial operation in 1981, and Catawba began commercial operation in 1985. In 2003, the NRC renewed the licenses for McGuire and Catawba for up to an additional 20 years each. This renewal

<sup>1</sup> As of January 1, 2023.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects DEC's ownership portion of Catawba Nuclear Station.

1 extends operations until 2041 for McGuire Unit 1, and 2043 for McGuire Unit  
2 2 and Catawba Units 1 and 2. The Company jointly owns Catawba with North  
3 Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number One, North Carolina Electric  
4 Membership Corporation, and Piedmont Municipal Power Agency.

5 **Q. WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY'S PLANS RELATED TO SUBSEQUENT**  
6 **LICENSE RENEWAL FOR THE EXISTING NUCLEAR FLEET?**

7 A. In 2019, Duke Energy announced intentions to seek SLR for all six nuclear  
8 plants, including DEC's Catawba, McGuire, and Oconee plants. The license  
9 application for the Oconee station was submitted to the NRC in June 2021. The  
10 remaining plant SLR submittals are scheduled to follow in the next few years.  
11 The SLR application process is detailed and thorough, and each application  
12 review is expected to take approximately eighteen months or longer.

13 **Q. WHY IS THE COMPANY SEEKING SLR FOR ITS NUCLEAR FLEET?**

14 A. The Company's nuclear fleet is a critical component of DEC's strategy for  
15 maintaining safe, reliable, and affordable electric service for its customers in  
16 both South Carolina and North Carolina as part of DEC's dual state system.  
17 These units have contributed to the Company's ability to provide such service  
18 for decades and are projected to be needed for decades more. Seeking SLR for  
19 the fleet is therefore in the best interest of customers continuing to benefit from  
20 affordable and reliable electric energy as well as from reduced carbon  
21 emissions. The Company's long-term maintenance of its nuclear plants,  
22 including investments made for major modifications and upgrades to each plant



1 and adherence to an aging management program pursuant to the stations’  
2 previous license extensions, make these stations good candidates for SLR.

3 **Q. WERE THERE ANY POWER CAPACITY CHANGES WITHIN DEC’S**  
4 **NUCLEAR PORTFOLIO SINCE THE LAST RATE CASE?**

5 A. No.

6 **III. CAPITAL ADDITIONS**

7 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAILS REGARDING MAJOR**  
8 **CAPITAL PROJECTS FOR NUCLEAR BEING INCLUDED IN THIS**  
9 **CASE.**

10 A. Since the 2018 Rate Case, DEC has, through December 31, 2023, invested  
11 approximately \$1.38 billion in beneficial capital projects at a system level. As  
12 stated previously, this reflects actual investments through September 2023 and  
13 estimates for the last quarter of 2023 (which will be updated in a supplemental  
14 filing). These capital improvements were required to enhance safety and  
15 efficiency, preserve performance and reliability of the plants throughout their  
16 extended life operations, and address regulatory requirements.

17 For example, all three DEC stations made advancements in the area of  
18 innovation by the installation of equipment associated with the intelligent  
19 monitoring and remote analytics center (“IMAC”) at each site. IMAC enables  
20 remote online monitoring of certain plant equipment for vibration, motor  
21 current signature analysis, turbine monitoring, and transformer monitoring. It is  
22 anticipated that remote online monitoring will reduce O&M expenses by

1 allowing some time-based preventative maintenance to be shifted to condition-  
2 based.

3 Additionally, the fleet has completed the projects to optimize the sites'  
4 physical security via the execution of the secure owner-controlled area  
5 ("SOCA"), early warning and assessment system ("EWAS"), and defensive  
6 position upgrade ("DFP") projects. These projects enhanced the security  
7 posture at each nuclear plant in the most cost-effective manner. The final SOCA  
8 and EWAS projects were completed in 2020 and the DFP effort was completed  
9 in 2021.

10 During 2022 and 2023 both Catawba and McGuire stations performed  
11 water jet peening treatments on the reactor vessel closure heads ("RVCHs") to  
12 mitigate the risk of primary water stress corrosion cracking and maintain the  
13 pressure boundary integrity of the RVCHs. This work was done to ensure  
14 continued reliability by alleviating an active degradation mechanism on the  
15 RVCH penetration welds.

16 At Catawba, capital investments to replace the low pressure turbine  
17 ("LPT") rotors and associated diaphragms were completed for Unit 1 in 2020  
18 and Unit 2 in 2021. The LPT replacements were done to improve the reliability  
19 of the turbines and reduce inspections and maintenance. Catawba Unit 2  
20 replaced degraded safety and non-safety core exit thermocouples and cables in  
21 2021. This work was required to ensure continued compliance with regulatory  
22 requirements related to this equipment and is also anticipated to result in

1 refueling outage time savings and reduced dose to site personnel. Additionally,  
2 Catawba replaced the 1B and 2B main step-up (“MSU”) transformers in 2022  
3 and 2023 respectively. The MSUs were strategically replaced as part of the  
4 nuclear fleet’s effort to address these aging components and ensure these critical  
5 pieces of equipment can support operations through the end of the SLR period.

6 Catawba has also executed multiple projects to improve and enhance  
7 the reliability of station equipment including control rod purchases, reactor  
8 coolant pump seal replacements, and component cooling heat exchangers  
9 retubings.

10 At McGuire, projects have been executed to ensure continued safe and  
11 reliable operations, including the completion of the modifications of the  
12 distributed control system (“DCS”) for Unit 2 in 2020. The DCS project  
13 involved the replacement and upgrade of components supporting the nuclear  
14 steam supply system to address reliability of aging and obsolete equipment as  
15 well as cyber security requirements.

16 Additionally, McGuire executed multiple projects to improve and  
17 enhance the reliability of station equipment including reactor coolant pump  
18 seals and motors replacements and feedwater pump turbine replacements.

19 Oconee has completed multiple projects to address aging equipment and  
20 ensure continued reliability in the future. The Oconee Unit 2 LPT rotor and  
21 associated diaphragm replacements were completed during the 2019 refueling  
22 outage, and the Units 1 and 3 LPT rotor and associated diaphragm replacements

1        were completed during refueling outages in 2020. The LPT replacements  
2        improve the reliability of the turbines and reduce inspections and maintenance.  
3        Additionally, to improve equipment at Oconee, feedwater heaters, chillers,  
4        reactor coolant pump seals and motors, and piping components subject to  
5        primary water stress corrosion cracking have been replaced. The replacement  
6        of this equipment will allow Oconee to continue to operate reliably while also  
7        enhancing safety and regulatory margins.

8        **Q.    ARE THE CAPITAL ADDITIONS AND ENHANCEMENTS YOU HAVE**  
9        **DESCRIBED IN YOUR TESTIMONY USED AND USEFUL IN**  
10       **PROVIDING ELECTRIC SERVICE TO DEC'S ELECTRIC**  
11       **CUSTOMERS IN SOUTH CAROLINA?**

12      A.    Yes. These capital additions are used and useful in safely and efficiently  
13       providing reliable electric service to the Company's customers. The Company  
14       recognizes the value to customers of well-maintained and high performing  
15       nuclear plants. DEC's nuclear plants have been maintained to a standard that  
16       allowed all seven units to be relicensed for an additional 20 years via the initial  
17       license renewal process, and these efforts support the SLR process that can  
18       extend the life of the plants out through 80 years. The Company's successful  
19       efforts to maintain and, when required, replace obsolete equipment and systems,  
20       enhance safety margins in compliance with new NRC requirements, and  
21       increase output and capacity, ensure customers will continue to benefit from the  
22       power provided by this reliable, efficient, cost-effective and greenhouse gas

1 emissions-free, 24/7 power source of energy for many years to come. These  
2 investments have positioned the Company to maintain high levels of  
3 operational safety, efficiency, reliability, and performance that is reflected in the  
4 nuclear performance results I discuss later in my testimony.

5 **IV. O&M EXPENSES**

6 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SIGNIFICANT COST DRIVERS IMPACTING**  
7 **O&M EXPENSES FOR DEP'S NUCLEAR FLEET.**

8 A. During the Test Period, approximately 35% of the required O&M expenditures  
9 for DEC's nuclear fleet were fuel related. A complete discussion of nuclear fuel  
10 costs can be found in Company witness Matthew L. Cameron's testimony filed  
11 with this Commission in DEC's annual fuel proceedings in Docket No. 2022-  
12 3-E and Docket No. 2023-3-E. In his testimony, witness Cameron noted that the  
13 Company anticipates a modest increase in nuclear fuel costs on a cents per kWh  
14 basis through the next several years. Customers will continue to benefit from the  
15 Company's diverse energy mix and the strong performance of its nuclear fleet  
16 through lower fuel costs than would otherwise result absent the significant  
17 contribution of nuclear power to meeting customers' demands.

18 The remainder of O&M expenditures for the nuclear fleet represent non-  
19 fuel items. Nuclear power plant operations and maintenance are very labor  
20 intensive and, therefore, a significant portion of O&M expenses are related to  
21 internal and contracted labor. External supplemental labor is critical to the safe  
22 and efficient execution of refueling outages. Much of the supplemental labor

1 required during refueling outages is highly trained, skilled, and specialized, and  
2 the Company competes with other nuclear companies to secure the  
3 supplemental labor required. Inflationary pressures among this labor pool have  
4 exceeded routine inflation. In addition to continuing to face upward pressure on  
5 these ongoing labor costs, other challenges have occurred with rising costs for  
6 materials and supplies. By leveraging the size of the Company's nuclear fleet  
7 and efficient execution of of refueling outages, the Company has been  
8 successful in effectively mitigating some of this inflationary pressure. However,  
9 despite these aggressive and significant efforts, DEC continues to face new  
10 costs and inflationary pressures.

11 **Q. WHAT EXAMPLES CAN YOU PROVIDE RELATED TO THE**  
12 **COMPANY'S EFFORTS TO CONTROL O&M COSTS?**

13 A. The Company has many efforts in place for controlling and/or saving costs. An  
14 area of focus in recent years has been outage optimization, focusing on duration,  
15 budget, dose, and production. This approach applies strict controls on reducing  
16 outage durations by aligning typical maintenance work within duration  
17 templates, allocating costs based on duration templates, improving alignment  
18 of bulk work to minimize schedule impacts, and targeting dose to the five-year  
19 ALARA<sup>3</sup> plan. Continuing efforts to reduce refueling outage durations are  
20 yielding results. In 2020, McGuire Unit 2 had its shortest refueling outage on

---

<sup>3</sup> Code of Federal Regulations (10 C.F.R. § 20.1003) acronym for "as low as (is) reasonably achievable."

1 record and experienced continuous operations without an outage for over 520  
2 days between October 2018 and March 2020. In 2021, Catawba Unit 1 set a  
3 Duke Energy nuclear fleet refueling outage duration record with an 18.8-day  
4 refueling outage. Additionally, Oconee Unit 2 had its shortest refueling outage  
5 on record in 2021 and experienced continuous operations without an outage for  
6 701 days between December 2019 and November 2021. Oconee Unit 3 also  
7 experienced a continuous run of over 727 days without an outage between May  
8 2020 and May 2022. Catawba Unit 2 and McGuire Unit 1 also experienced  
9 extended periods of continuous operations without outages of 534 days and 528  
10 days respectively. Shorter refueling outages and longer continuous runs result  
11 in reduced O&M expense and directly benefit customers by allowing increased  
12 output from the lower fuel cost nuclear units.

13 Innovation is another key area of focus to help control costs. I mentioned  
14 IMAC earlier in my testimony. The remote monitoring capability enabled by  
15 IMAC is anticipated to reduce O&M costs as more maintenance activities are  
16 initiated by equipment performance-based maintenance versus time-based  
17 preventive maintenance, thereby reducing both labor and material  
18 requirements. The Company has expanded the use of robotics and drones for  
19 inspection activities in high dose areas or areas that are difficult or impossible  
20 to access during plant operations. Expanded use of these types of technologies  
21 reduce radiation exposure and enhance personnel safety for workers. As

1 indicated by these examples, the Company is aggressively pursuing innovation  
2 and technology.

3 **Q. CAN YOU COMMENT ON THE COMPANY'S EFFORTS TO**  
4 **MAINTAIN AND IMPROVE CYBER SECURITY?**

5 A. Yes. DEC operates under a Cyber Security Plan approved by the NRC. The  
6 activities outlined by the Company within its Cyber Security Plan include  
7 examining current practices, developing cyber security program processes,  
8 reviewing critical digital assets, performing validation testing, and  
9 implementing new controls. The DEC nuclear plants continue to assess current  
10 cyber threats and improve defenses. The Nuclear Generation organization  
11 maintains dedicated resources to these key protective actions and works with  
12 enterprise cyber security experts, the NRC, Department of Homeland Security,  
13 and other law enforcement agencies. We also partner with nuclear organizations  
14 such as the Nuclear Energy Institute and the Institute of Nuclear Power  
15 Operations and maintain open communications with our industry peers. The  
16 combination of these actions provides a robust defense.

17 **V. ADDITIONAL NUCLEAR FLEET CONSIDERATIONS**

18 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY ATTEMPTED TO LIMIT COST INCREASES**  
19 **FOR CAPITAL ADDITIONS AND O&M EXPENSES?**

20 A. Yes. The Company controls costs for capital projects and O&M utilizing a  
21 rigorous cost management program. The Company sustainably controls costs  
22 through routine executive oversight of project budget and activity reporting,



1 with new projects requiring approval by progressively higher levels of  
2 management depending on total project cost. The Company also controls  
3 ongoing capital and O&M costs through strategic planning and procurement,  
4 efficient oversight of contractors by a trained and experienced workforce,  
5 rigorous monitoring of work quality, thorough critiques to drive process  
6 improvement, and industry benchmarking to ensure the utilization of best  
7 practices.

8 **Q. HAS THE COMPANY INCURRED ADDITIONAL O&M OR CAPITAL**  
9 **COSTS DUE TO ANY OTHER REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS SINCE**  
10 **THE 2018 RATE CASE?**

11 A. There have been no new significant regulatory obligations. In the 2018 Rate  
12 Case, the Company indicated that additional Fukushima and Environmental  
13 Protection Agency (“EPA”) regulations related to water intake and cooling  
14 functions could potentially result in additional O&M and capital expense.  
15 However, there were no new Fukushima regulatory actions announced since the  
16 2018 Rate Case. All Fukushima related actions at Catawba, McGuire, and  
17 Oconee have been completed. Therefore, those potential increases have not  
18 materialized.

19 All three DEC stations have submitted reports related to the EPA water  
20 intake and cooling water regulations, and no plant modifications are required.

1   **Q.    ARE THERE CURRENT ISSUES IN THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY**  
2       **THAT MAY FURTHER IMPACT COSTS FOR CAPITAL AND/OR**  
3       **O&M?**

4    A.   Yes. For example, as a result of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, supply  
5       challenges and increased cost pressures on the procurement of uranium and  
6       uranium fuel process services are expected over the next several years. Duke  
7       Energy has always valued diversity of supply and is working with urgency to  
8       mitigate these potential impacts.

9           As I discussed earlier in my testimony, cyber security requires an  
10       ongoing effort to maintain defenses against ever increasing technical  
11       capabilities of adversaries. The current geopolitical unrest associated with  
12       Russian aggression in Ukraine has heightened the threat assessment for critical  
13       infrastructure including power generation. Continued diligence is required to  
14       ensure reliable operations are not impacted by malicious cyber actors. As cyber  
15       risks continue to increase, Company efforts must match these threats.  
16       Continued diligence could require deployment of additional resources.

17          As I noted earlier, despite the success of the Company's efficiency  
18       initiatives to mitigate cost increases, DEC continues to face upward pressure on  
19       O&M costs. The Company is also experiencing supply chain challenges  
20       resulting in longer lead times and increased costs for some materials. These  
21       challenges increased as the world began to exit the pandemic. Efforts to mitigate  
22       these challenges include relying on the size and scale of Duke Energy's

1 combined purchasing and contracting power. We are also mitigating challenges  
2 with labor and resources by partnering with community colleges and  
3 universities to ensure a pool of well-trained candidates are available in our  
4 service territories, and developing our existing workforce with training.

5 **VI. NUCLEAR OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE**

6 **Q. WHAT ARE DEC'S OBJECTIVES IN THE OPERATION OF ITS**  
7 **NUCLEAR GENERATION ASSETS?**

8 A. The primary objective of DEC's nuclear generation department is to safely  
9 provide reliable and cost-effective energy to the Company's customers. The  
10 Company achieves this objective by focusing on several key areas. Operations  
11 personnel and other station employees are well-trained and execute their  
12 responsibilities to the highest standards in accordance with detailed procedures.  
13 The Company maintains station equipment and systems reliably and ensures  
14 timely implementation of work plans and projects that enhance the performance  
15 of systems, equipment, and personnel. Station refueling and maintenance  
16 outages are conducted through the execution of well-planned, well-executed,  
17 and high-quality work activities, which effectively ready the plant for operation  
18 until the next planned outage.

19 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PERFORMANCE OF THE COMPANY'S**  
20 **NUCLEAR FLEET SINCE 2018.**

21 A. Since the 2018 rate case, including during the Test Period, the DEC nuclear fleet  
22 has continued to perform well. In 2019 the DEC nuclear fleet set a record annual

1 net generation and capacity factor. Additionally, as I noted earlier, Catawba Unit  
2 2 achieved the fleet's shortest ever refueling outage and Catawba Unit 1,  
3 Catawba Unit 2, and Oconee Unit 2 each had continuous runs between refueling  
4 outages.

5 In 2020, Oconee Unit 2 achieved a record annual net generation and set  
6 a record annual capacity factor. McGuire Unit 2 completed a continuous run of  
7 over 524 days between refueling outages and achieved its shortest ever  
8 refueling outage.

9 In 2021, the Oconee station and Oconee Unit 1 achieved record annual  
10 generation and capacity factors, and both Oconee Unit 3 and McGuire Unit 1  
11 achieved new annual generation records. Catawba Unit 1 achieved a fleet record  
12 refueling outage duration of 18.8 days and Oconee Unit 2 achieved its shortest  
13 ever refueling outage. Additionally, Catawba Unit 2 achieved a record  
14 continuous run of over 534 days between refueling outages, and Oconee Unit 2  
15 had a continuous run between refueling outages of over 701 days.

16 DEC's nuclear fleet continued to perform well during the Test Period,  
17 providing approximately 61% of DEC's generation needs. During 2022, DEC's  
18 nuclear plants achieved an annual capacity factor of 94.66%. Catawba Unit 1  
19 achieved a record annual net generation and had a capacity factor of 101.14%  
20 in 2022. McGuire Unit 1, Oconee Unit 1, and Oconee Unit 3 each completed  
21 continuous runs between refueling outages during the test period. The Oconee  
22 Unit 3 continuous run set a fleet record with continuous run of 727.1 days.

1           These performance results support DEC's continued commitment to  
2           achieving high performance without compromising safety and reliability.

3   **Q.   WHAT INITIATIVES HAS THE COMPANY TAKEN TO INCREASE**  
4   **EFFICIENCIES IN NUCLEAR OPERATIONS?**

5   A.   The Company uses benchmarking, long-range planning, work prioritization  
6       tools, and other processes to continuously improve operational and cost  
7       performance. Over the years, the Company has gained efficiencies from the  
8       implementation of common policies, practices, and procedures across the Duke  
9       Energy nuclear fleet. In addition, efficiencies are sought through incorporation  
10      of industry best practices. Since the merger of DEC and DEP, a focused effort  
11      remains on improving fleet performance in various areas, and a focus on  
12      organizational effectiveness continues to identify and address work  
13      improvements. The goal is aligning operations at a fleet level, taking advantage  
14      of shared experiences and process improvement opportunities. Overall,  
15      improvement efforts result in enhanced fleet reliability and efficiency on a cost  
16      per kWh basis.

17   **Q.   HOW DOES THE COMPANY'S NUCLEAR FLEET COMPARE TO**  
18   **OTHERS IN THE INDUSTRY?**

19   A.   The Company's nuclear fleet has a history of top performance and continues to  
20       rank among the top performers when compared to the seven other large  
21       domestic nuclear fleets using Key Performance Indicators in the areas of  
22       personal safety, radiological dose, manual and automatic shutdowns, capacity

1 factor, forced loss rate, industry performance index, and total operating cost.  
2 On a larger industry basis, using data for 2022 from the Electric Utility Cost  
3 Group, the DEC nuclear stations all ranked in the top quartile in total operating  
4 cost among the 55 U.S. nuclear plants reporting. Industry benchmarking efforts  
5 are a principal technique used by the Company to ensure best practices. These  
6 efforts further ensure overall prudence, safety, and reliability of DEC's nuclear  
7 units.

8 **VII. END OF LIFE NUCLEAR RESERVE**

9 **Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO CREATE A RESERVE FOR END**  
10 **OF LIFE NUCLEAR COSTS?**

11 A. Yes. As discussed in Company witness Jiggetts's testimony, the Company is  
12 proposing to create a reserve to start accruing for end of life nuclear fuel and  
13 materials and supplies inventory.

14 **Q. WHY IS ESTABLISHING AN END OF LIFE NUCLEAR RESERVE IN**  
15 **THE BEST INTEREST OF TODAY'S CUSTOMERS?**

16 A. South Carolina customers have received and will continue to receive the  
17 benefits from the strong safety and operational performance of the Company's  
18 nuclear fleet. The reserve fund estimate primarily consists of the remaining fuel  
19 in core and inventory used to maintain the units; these costs not covered in the  
20 decommissioning fund represent the costs of continued operations of the  
21 nuclear fleet.

1   **Q.     ARE THE ESTIMATES USED TO ESTABLISH THE END OF LIFE**  
2       **NUCLEAR RESERVE FUND APPROPRIATE?**

3   A.    Yes. Regarding nuclear fuel, the Company used current forecasts for uranium,  
4       fabrication, and enrichment to calculate the estimated value of underutilized  
5       fuel remaining in the last core. For nuclear inventory, the Company used the  
6       existing inventory balance at the end of the Test Period as the estimate of  
7       inventory remaining on the last day of operation. In addition, the calculations  
8       to project these costs assume SLR extension of the licenses for the Company's  
9       nuclear units.

10                                   **VIII.   CONCLUSION**

11   **Q.     IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO SAY IN CLOSING?**

12   A.    Yes. The Company has a proven history of cost competitive operation of its  
13       nuclear assets concurrent with maintaining safety, quality, and reliability. DEC  
14       is positioned to continue as a leader in the industry with a solid base of  
15       knowledge and experience, and with a nuclear fleet that is highly efficient,  
16       reliable, and an essential part of Duke Energy's diverse resource mix. This base  
17       rate increase will allow the Company to continue its tradition of operational  
18       excellence and focus on safe operations, reliable generation, and strong  
19       performance of its nuclear fleet that ultimately benefits our customers.

20   **Q.     DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

21   A.    Yes.